

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/733,295	OHNISHI, SHINJI	
	<b>Examiner</b> LIN LIU	<b>Art Unit</b> 2445	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (1) LIN LIU.  
 (2) Edward Kmett.

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 March 2010
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

103

**Claims discussed:**

7, 11 and 15

**Prior art documents discussed:**
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The main inventive features of the present application were discussed with Applicant's representative Edward Kmett (42,746). Applicant's representative Edward Kmett agreed with examiner and permits for the examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)